

FROM RESEARCH TO INDUSTRY



# CEA LIST at ImageCLEF Scalable Image Concept Annotation 2013

Hervé Le Borgne, Adrian Popescu

**list**



**digiteo**

## GENERAL PRINCIPLE

- Successive « improvements » of the baseline provided
- We define different
  - Visual models **V** + distance, learning models...
  - Textual models **M** (tag-based models)
- Principle: for an image to annotate
  - Find visual neighbors of the image into the learning database
  - These (neighboring) images have tags (with a confidence score)
  - The set of tags generates a textual description according to **M**
  - Concepts are described according to **M** as well → similarity
- Late fusion with visual models
- Decision value (0/1) independant for each query
  - Score at 1 when more than average + standard deviation
- Use the development set to test the efficiency of different strategies

## FINDING VISUAL NEIGHBORS

- Baseline uses C-SIFT based descriptors
- Our alternative:
  - SIFT, gray-based, densely extracted every 3 pixels
  - Bag of visterm: local soft coding + max pooling
  - Two pyramids:
    - BoV<sub>1</sub>: 1x1 +x 3x1 + 2x2
    - BoV<sub>2</sub> : 1x1 + 2x2 + 4x4
- Two distances tested
  - Histogram intersection
  - L<sub>1</sub>
- Similar results to the baseline  
(non significant improvement)
  - K=32 visual neighbors
- We'll use BoV<sub>1</sub> + L<sub>1</sub>

$$Dist_{HI}(x - y) = 1 - \frac{1}{D} \sum_{i=1}^D \frac{\min(x_i, y_i)}{\max(x_i, y_i)}$$

System	mAP
K	32
Provided baseline	24.235
Random neighbors	17.878
BoV <sub>1</sub> HI	23.830
BoV <sub>2</sub> HI	23.468
BoV <sub>1</sub> L1	24.305
BoV <sub>2</sub> L1	23.229

## WIKIPEDIA-ESA MODEL

- **Explicit Semantic Analysis performed on top of Wikipedia content**
  - Map words onto Wikipedia concepts
  - 1187980 wikipedia concepts to start with
  - Concept selection using the inlink count to keep the most frequent concepts – experiments with top 5k concepts
- **In the task, map training image annotations to Wikipedia concepts**

## FLICKR-ESA TAG MODEL

Inspired by Explicit Semantic Analysis but done with Flickr data

- Flickr 95 – map each image's annotation onto the set of 95 development concepts
- Flickr30k – map each image's annotation onto a set of 30k Wikipedia concepts

- **Results:**

Tag	$K_{visual}$ Visual	8	16	32	64	128
		co-occurrence	csift	24.71(*)	24.77	24.24
co-occurrence	$BoV_1 + L1$	25.01(*)	25.08	24.31	23.60	22.80
<i>Flickr</i> <sub>95</sub>	csift	25.08	25.92	26.61	27.33	27.51
<i>Flickr</i> <sub>95</sub>	$BoV_1 + L1$	25.96	27.30	28.16	28.18	27.67
<i>Flickr</i> <sub>30k</sub>	csift	30.25	29.46	29.23	28.80	28.44
<i>Flickr</i> <sub>30k</sub>	$BoV_1 + L1$	31.05	30.25	29.50	29.07	28.48

- **Conclusion:**

- Significant improvement due to the Flickr<sub>30k</sub>-based tag model
- The number of visual neighbors considered influences the results in conjunction with the complexity of the tag-based model

## LEARNING VISUAL MODELS

- Consider the learning database described with Flickr<sub>30k</sub>
- Principle:
  - Images ranked % score for each concept
  - Select positive and negative samples
  - Learn a SVM-based model for each concept
- Different strategies to choose positive and negative samples
  - S1: 100 most similar Versus 500 least similar
  - S2: two thresholds: positive > 0,8 and negative < 0,1
  - S3: usage of visual coherency [Myoupo et al, 2010]
- Results: the simpler, the better!
  - S1: mAP = 0,219
  - S2: mAP = 0,212
  - S2: mAP = 0,209
- Late fusion with tag-based results

## PARTICIPATION TO IMAGE CLEF 2013

**Run 1:  $0.8 * \text{FlickR}_{30k} + 0.2 * \text{visual}$**

**Run 2 :  $0.8 * (\text{FlickR}_{30k} + \text{ESA}_{5k}) + 0.2 * \text{visual}$**

**Run 3 :  $0.8 * (\text{FlickR}_{50k} + \text{ESA}_{5k}) + 0.2 * \text{visual}$**

**Run 4 :  $0.8 * (\text{FlickR}_{30k} + \text{ESA}_{5k}) + 0.2 * \text{VC}_{\text{score}}$**

**Run 4 :  $0.8 * (\text{FlickR}_{200k} + \text{ESA}_{5k}) + 0.2 * \text{VC}_{\text{score}}$**

	devel set			test set		
	mAP	MF-sample	MF-concepts	mAP	MF-sample	MF-concepts
Run 1	34.6	28.7	23.6	29.4	23.0	19.0
Run 2	39.6	30.2	24.6	33.6	24.2	20.1
Run 3	40.4	31.8	25.3	34.1	25.2	20.2
Run 4	40.3	32.2	26.1	34.2	26.0	21.2
Run 5	39.2	31.6	25.4	33.6	25.7	21.0